



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 0879-0250P 1370 09/492,787 01/28/2000 Kenji Funamoto EXAMINER 09/01/2004 7590 2292 VILLECCO, JOHN M BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH **PO BOX 747** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 2612

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/492,787	FUNAMOTO, KENJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John M. Villecco	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	lyoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ich places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ision and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		·
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cor	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL'	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 7 and 8.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	WENDY	R. GARBER EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOG	Y CENTER 2600

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/492,787

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claims 1 and 6 to include th limitation that the image data is thinned out upon application of the frequency-divided clock signal to the signal processing part. This new limitation constitutes a new issue and would require further consideration and/or search.